

AFRO_IPC_Tool_10 Community Score Card

Welcome to the AFRO IPC Community Scorecard tool. ----- > Pour le français, veuillez changer le champs "Language" ci-dessus.

This tool is intended to help governments, partners, community organizations and community volunteers to plan and facilitate community engagement in surveillance and action on risks of exposure to COVID-19 at the community level.

Its use leads to the following results:

- the establishment of a system for monitoring the risks of transmission of COVID-19 and the level of risk in the communities, focusing on the risk assessment in places and situations where the risks of transmission are high due to public interactions (markets, funerals, schools, gatherings, etc.).
- the opportunity to make informed decisions, based on verifiable factual data and adapted to the different realities specific to each community.

It is accompanied by a user guide, and good preparation for its implementation with all stakeholders is an imperative.

Download the Community IPC Score Card Guidance [here](#)

Section 1 : Observation checklist for non-pharmaceutical interventions in the communities

Enter Country *		
<input type="radio"/> Algeria	<input type="radio"/> Angola	<input type="radio"/> Benin
<input type="radio"/> Botswana	<input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso	<input type="radio"/> Burundi
<input type="radio"/> Cameroon	<input type="radio"/> Cape Verde	<input type="radio"/> Central African Republic
<input type="radio"/> Chad	<input type="radio"/> Comores	<input type="radio"/> Congo *
Enter Province/Region		
<input type="radio"/> Congo, DR	<input type="radio"/> Cote d'Ivoire	<input type="radio"/> Equatorial Guinea
<input type="radio"/> Eritrea	<input type="radio"/> Eswatini	<input type="radio"/> Ethiopia
<input type="radio"/> Gabon	<input type="radio"/> Gambia	<input type="radio"/> Ghana
<input type="radio"/> Guinea	<input type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau	<input type="radio"/> Kenya *
Enter City		
<input type="radio"/> Lesotho	<input type="radio"/> Liberia	<input type="radio"/> Madagascar
<input type="radio"/> Malawi	<input type="radio"/> Mali	<input type="radio"/> Mauritania
<input type="radio"/> Mauritius	<input type="radio"/> Mozambique	<input type="radio"/> Namibia
<input type="radio"/> Niger	<input type="radio"/> Nigeria	<input type="radio"/> Rwanda

<input type="radio"/> Niger	<input type="radio"/> Nigeria	<input type="radio"/> Rwanda	*
<input type="radio"/> Sao Tome and Principe	<input type="radio"/> Senegal	<input type="radio"/> Seychelles	
<input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone	<input type="radio"/> South Africa	<input type="radio"/> South Sudan	
<input type="radio"/> Tanzania	<input type="radio"/> Togo	<input type="radio"/> Uganda	

<input type="radio"/> Zambia	<input type="radio"/> Zimbabwe	*
Please tick and indicate the community setting being assessed		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Market	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Bus Terminal	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Business Centre	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Community gathering place	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Home/Household	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (specify)	

Specify

District name	*
---------------	---

Date of observation	*
yyyy-mm-dd	

Interviewer name	*
------------------	---

Interviewer email or phone	*
----------------------------	---

Interviewer function or position	*
----------------------------------	---

» Variable 1 : Hand hygiene in the community

Variable 1.1 : Hand hygiene equipment (Washing/Friction)

Location, Visibility and Accessibility of Handwashing Stations

In the case where only the option of hand rubbing with hydro-alcoholic solution is proposed, the answer N/A from **1.1.1 to 1.1.10** must be systematically ticked

Questions **1.1.8 and 1.1.12 are mutually exclusive**. Only one of the two questions should be used.

1.1.1 Visibility and accessibility of hand washing station: *

- No Hand washing facility (0-score)
- The hand washing station was difficult to find and/or Access (1-score)
- The hand washing station is easily visible and accessible to all in the vicinity of its setting e.g., from anywhere in the market or bus terminal (2-scores)
- N/A: if not applicable

Hand washing facility status

1.1.2 Facility capacity – Please tick the one that applies: *

- None (0-score)
- 5-10-litre capacity (1-score)
- Above 20-litre capacity (2-scores)
- N/A: if not applicable

1.1.3 Status of Tank – Please indicate if there are any cracks or breakages on the handwashing tank: *

- Completely damaged (0-score)
- Leaking (1-score)
- No Cracks of Damages (2-scores)
- N/A: if not applicable

1.1.4 **Status of Tap** – Check tap. Please indicate if the tap is faulty or leaking: *

- Faulty – not working (0-score)
- Working but leaking (1-score)
- Working without leaking (2-scores)
- N/A: if not applicable

1.1.5 Please indicate if there is **water in the hand washing facility**: *

- No water (0-score)
- Water available (2-scores)
- N/A: if not applicable

1.1.6 Please indicate if there is **soap available** for use: *

If chlorinated water is used, put 0 and use the comments column to indicate a bad practice

- Soap is not available (0-score)
- Bar soap is available (1-score)
- Soapy water is available (2-scores)
- N/A: if not applicable

1.1.7 If bar soap is available, please comment on the **appearance of the soap**: *

If chlorinated water or liquid soap is used, put 0

- Soap looks dirty (0-score)
- Soap looks clean (2-scores)
- N/A: if not applicable

1.1.8 Please indicate if there is **communication material** (sticker, poster etc.) at the hand washing station on either correct handwashing technique and/or Covid19 prevention measures: *

- No (0-score)
- Yes (2-scores)
- N/A: if not applicable

Convenience and ease of use of hand washing facility.

1.1.9 Ease of use of tap:

*

- The tap does not work at all (0-score)
- The tap is difficult to open and/or close but eventually does (1-score)
- The tap opens and closes easily (2-scores)
- N/A: if not applicable

1.1.10 Tap water positioning:

*

- The handwashing station is too low or too high and to use it, most adult users have to bend over or Stand someway behind, People with Disabilities cannot access (0-score)
- The handwashing station is a comfortable height and the most one has to do is lean forward (2-scores)
- N/A: if not applicable

1.1.11 Availability of sufficient quantity of hydro-alcoholic solution:

*

- No (0-score)
- Yes (2-scores)
- N/A: if not applicable

1.1.12 Are there communication materials (stickers, posters, etc.) that are well placed in cases where only hand rubbing with hydro-alcoholic solution is offered?

*

- No (0-score)
- Yes (2-scores)
- N/A: if not applicable

1.1.13 Comments

» Variable 2 : Proportion of people practicing hand washing or Alcohol based hand rubbing at the public location

2.1 The proportion of the people observed (15-20 minute) **practicing hand washing (water and soap) or Alcohol based hand rubbing** at the entrances/exits of the location: *

*i.e., # of person washed divide by total person observed * 100*

- Few people wash hands or rub hands (less 50%) (0-score)
- Moderate people (50-80%) wash hands or rub hands (1-score)
- More than 80% of people wash hands or rub hands in the location (2-scores)

2.2 The proportion of the people observed (15-20 minute) who wash hand or use alcohol based hand rubbing **correctly**: *

- Few people wash hands or rub hands correctly (less than 50%) (0-score)
- Moderate proportion of people (50-80%) correctly wash hands or rub hands (1-score)
- More than 80% of people wash hand or rub hands correctly in the location (2-scores)

2.3 Comments

» Variable 3 : Mask Use

3.1 The proportion of the people observed (15-20 minute) in the location who **wear mask**: *

- Few people wear mask (less than 50%) (0-score)
- Moderate proportion of people (50-80%) wear mask (1-score)
- More than 80% of people wear mask in the location (2-scores)

3.2 The proportion of the people observed who wear mask **correctly** : *

Not under the chin, hanging from ear, on top of head, on forehead, not below the nose

- Few people wear mask correctly (less than 50%) (0-score)
- A moderate proportion of people (50-80%) wears mask correctly (1-score)
- More than 80% of people wear mask correctly in the location (2-scores)

3.3 Comments

» Variable 4 : Physical distancing

4.1 The proportion of the people observed in the location who **keep distance of at least 1 meter from each other:** *

- Few people keep the distance (less than 50%) (0-score)
- A moderate proportion of people (50-80%) keep the distance (1-score)
- More than 80% of people keep the distance (2-scores)
- N/A: if not applicable

4.2 Comments

» Variable 5 : Natural Ventilation in the room

5.1 Location or room have **adequate natural ventilation:** *

- Few windows and or not opened (0-score)
- Door and windows exist but not in opposite direction (1-score)
- Yes, door and windows open in opposite direction (so that air enter from one direction can go out in the other side) (2-scores)
- N/A: if not applicable

5.2 The location or room have **adequate space for the number of people using the room:** *

- The room space limited (less than 1m distance) (0-score)
- The room space moderate (between 1m and 1.50m distance) (1-score)
- The room space is adequate (more than 1.5m distance) (2-score)

5.3 Comments

Section 2: Discussion/Interviews

» Variable 6 : Perception, communication, access and WASH

6.1 Do community members consider themselves at high risk of infection to Covid-19? *

- No (0-score)
- Some (1-score)
- Yes most (2-scores)

6.1b Do community members have a positive attitude toward the preventive practices (hand washing/hand sanitizing, mask, distancing)? *

- No (0-score)
- Some (1-score)
- Yes most (2-scores)

6.2 Do adequate communications on COVID-19 preventative practices being conducted in the community using different methods (i.e. posters/stickers, mass media, SMS messages etc.)? *

- No (0-score)
- Some (1-score)
- Yes most (2-scores)

6.3 Are the materials and supplies for handwashing/hand sanitizing (mask, soap, detergent, sanitizer, towel, or alcohol-based hand rub) readily available and affordable to majority of the households in the community? *

- No (0-score)
- Some (1-score)
- Yes most (2-scores)
- N/A: if not applicable

6.4 Does the community have adequate and reliable access to improved drinking water sources? *

- No (0-score)
- Some (1-score)
- Yes most (2-scores)
- N/A: if not applicable

6.5 Comments

» Variable 7 : Socio-economic and socio-cultural activities: public transport, mosques, churches, family ceremonies, cinemas, etc

7.1 Are there any regulations (restricting human gatherings, wearing mask) in the community? *

- No (0-score)
- Yes (2-scores)
- N/A: if not applicable

7.2 Are the regulations put in place actually respected? *

- No (0-score)
- Some (1-score)
- Yes most (2-scores)

7.3 Are there effective means implemented to enforce regulations? *

- No (0-score)
- Some (1-score)
- Yes most (2-scores)

7.4 Have any special community setting / institution present in the area been assessed? (Schools, camp, PoE, prisons, etc.) (if applicable) *

- No (0-score)
- Yes (2-scores)
- N/A: if not applicable

7.5 Are the recommended preventive measures being followed in these special community settings? *

- No (0-score)
- Some (1-score)
- Yes most (2-scores)

7.6 Comments

» Variable 8 : IPC Community Program

8.1 Is there a committee responsible for IPC in the community, involving all stakeholders, with well-defined composition, mission and functioning? *

- No (0-score)
- Yes (2-scores)

8.2 Does this committee have an action plan, being implemented, monitored and evaluated? *

- No (0-score)
- Partially (1-score)
- Yes completely (2-scores)

8.3 Are there trained community workers who are responsible for implementation? *

- No (0-score)
- Yes (2-scores)

8.4 Is IPC/RCCE focal (from district) monitoring the intervention, and conduct supervisory support? *

- No (0-score)
- Yes (2-scores)

8.5 Comments

Variables' scores

Your score for Variable 01 is NaN/24

Your score for Variable 02 is NaN/4

Your score for Variable 03 is NaN/4

Your score for Variable 04 is NaN/2

Your score for Variable 05 is NaN/4

Your score for Variable 06 is NaN/10

Your score for Variable 07 is NaN/10

Your score for Variable 08 is NaN/8

Analytical conclusions

Score analysis

- score % \leq 50% -----> High Risk
- 50% < score % \leq 75% ----> Medium Risk
- 75%< score % -----> Reduced Risk

Total score for the community setting is **NaN/66** which correspond to **NaN%**

The Community setting has a **Reduced Risk/ Risque Réduit**
